

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,630	01/18/2001	James H. Goethel	L-F / 180DV	8472
26875 7	590 05/05/2004		EXAM	INER
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			HAYES, MICHAEL J	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A Street Andrew Commence	09/764,630	GOETHEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Michael J Hayes	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Anna de constante					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3763

مسيني

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 7 Applicant recites the coupling element has an interior cavity, but in claim 1 Applicant established that the coupling element was enveloped by a coupling mechanism. It is not clear how a cavity can be enveloped.

The term "substantial discontinuity" in claims 1 and 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantial discontinuity" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. There is no guidance as to how much discontinuity Applicant considers to be substantial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,630

Art Unit: 3763

٠,

Claims 1-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by NEER et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,300,031). Neer shows a syringe having a barrel, plunger, coupling element 96, conical front, syringe mating sections comprising an annular flange fixed to the syringe body (See Figs. 4,5,11,12). The portion of the extension enveloped by a coupling mechanism shows no substantial discontinuities in adjacent transverse cross-sections. See fig. 12. Neer also discloses a movable face plate 127 movable by a rotatable lever 138 to facilitate syringe mounting. See Figs. 6-9. The discontinuity shown in Neer is not substantial because it appears to be less than a third reduction of the cross section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NEER as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of DENSMORE (U. S. Patent No. 5,007,904). Neer discloses the claimed invention except for an interior cavity in the coupling element. Densmore teaches an interior cavity 38 in the coupling element (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the interior cavity in order to securely attach a the head of a driving mechanism (4:12-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,630

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Neer shows a substantial discontinuity because the cap would be much greater than the extension. The examiner disagrees with Applicant and points out that without some definition of "substantial discontinuity" the argument cannot be accurately interpreted. The discontinuity shown in Neer may or may not be substantial depending on Applicant's definition. It is the examiner's conclusion that Neer does not show a substantial discontinuity, as discussed above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. NIGHTINGALE (US Patent No. 3,747,479) and HESSE (US Patent No. 4,731,068) show syringes with pistons having a rearward extending element.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,630

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

mjh 3 May 2004

MICHAEL J. HAYES
PRIMARY EXAMINER